Synthesis of ω-(4-hydroxyphenyl)alkanecarboxylic acids

Irina V. Mikhura,**a Andrei A. Formanovsky,*a Alexei O. Nikitin,*a Julia N. Yakovleva*b and Sergei A. Eremin*b

^a M. M. Shemyakin and Yu. A. Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117975 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 095 330 5592; e-mail: synorg@ibch.siobc.ras.ru

^b Department of Chemistry, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 095 939 2742; e-mail: eremin@enz.chem.msu.ru

10.1070/MC2000v010n05ABEH001336

The title carboxylic acids were synthesised in six steps starting from α, ω -alkanedicarboxylic acids.

Alkylphenol ethoxylates are widely used nonionic surfactants, which are considered as extremely dangerous water pollutants. These compounds undergo degradation and biodegradation in the environment to form stable alkylphenols such as nonylphenol and octylphenol.¹ Nonylphenol exhibits high toxicity at concentrations as low as 0.04–5 mg dm⁻³.²-5 However, the estrogenic activity of alkylphenols is most hazardous because it is responsible for the reproductive toxicity towards aquatic organisms at much lower pollutant concentrations.^{6,7}

Gas chromatography with mass-spectrometric detection (GC-MS)8,9 and liquid chromatography10-12 can detect nonionic surfactants and their metabolites at low concentrations. However, these sophisticated and expensive techniques are inappropriate for routine analysis. Immunoassay methods, which are in current use for monitoring environmental and biological materials, exhibit advantages over traditional analytical methods in terms of efficiency, rapidity and simplicity of performance. Immunoassay can be successfully used for the detection of environmental pollutants at a level of 0.005–0.01 ng cm⁻³ under field conditions. The key step in the development of an immunoassay procedure is the production of antibodies that recognize and bind the target analyte with high affinity and specificity. 13 The generation of antibodies to low-molecular-weight analytes, such as alkylphenols, is a challenge because small molecules are non-immunogenic and require conjugation to carrier proteins in order to elicit an immune response.14 Because alkylphenols bear no functional groups capable of conjugation to a carrier, they should be subjected to derivatization. On the one hand, the derivatization protocol should provide an active group enabling the covalent linkage to amino acid side chains of the protein, and, on the other, it should keep free the important antigenic determinants of a target molecule. It was found that a linker between the target structure and the carrier is best to have a length of 3 to 6 methylene groups, which is optimal to avoid a shielding effect of the carrier and to expose the attached molecule to the immune system. 15,16 The most frequently used carrier proteins are keyhole limpet hemocyanin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), tyroglobulin, ovalbumin and conalbumin. 17,18

In this work, we suggest a full synthetic route for alkylphenol derivatives, ω -(4-hydroxyphenyl)nonanoic and ω -(4-hydroxyphenyl)heptanoic acids. These compounds contain active terminal carboxyl groups and can be covalently attached to the ε -amino groups of BSA lysine residues, and the resulting conjugates can be used for the immunisation of rabbits and for the production of polyclonal antibodies to alkylphenol ethoxylates and alkylphenols as their metabolites. Because both the target compounds and the synthesised derivatives contain hydroxyphenyl rings, it may be expected that antibodies to the derivatives will bind specifically to the target compounds. The length of a linker of 6 to 8 methylene groups ensures that the target structure is physically distant from the carrier protein surface; moreover, it may also be expected that alkyl chains will contribute to the antibody recognition of target alkylphenols.

A retrosynthetic analysis of ω -(4-hydroxyphenyl)alkanecarboxylic acids leads to a reasonable procedure that involves the alkylation of anisole by a corresponding ω -haloalkanecarboxylic acid. Unfortunately, this procedure was unsuccessful because under conditions of the Friedel–Crafts reaction the alkyl group

of the acid easily undergoes isomerization. Simultaneously, polysubstitution in anisole can also occur at different binding sites. Note that long-chain haloalkanecarboxylic acids are not easily available.

Contrary to the alkylation, the acylation of anisole by appropriate carbonyl compounds followed by the reduction of the carbonyl group seems to be the most convenient procedure. This reaction sequence was used for the synthesis of 4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)butyric acid¹⁹ and 7-(4-hydroxyphenyl)heptanoic acid²⁰ (no experimental details were published in the latter case).

The reaction between an α,ω-dicarboxylic acid and an equimolar amount of its dimethyl ester leads to the monomethyl ester of the dicarboxylic acid; the subsequent addition of SOCl₂ affords ω-methoxycarbonylalkanecarboxylic acid chlorides 1a,b. Anisole was quantitatively acylated at the para position by compounds 1a,b, which were used without special purification; alkyl chain isomerization was not observed.[†] The reduction of carbonyl groups in 2a,b according to the modified Clemmensen method in a two-phase system resulted in partial hydrolysis of esters 2a,b. A mixture of the above acids and their esters was refluxed in an alkaline medium to provide the full hydrolysis of the esters. ω-(4-Methoxyphenyl)alkanecarboxylic acids 3a,b were obtained in good yields.[‡]

The protective methyl group of the 4-hydroxyphenyl moiety of 3a,b was removed with the use of an HBr solution in glacial acetic acid, and compounds 4a,b were obtained.§

[†] Methyl 7-(4-methoxyphenyl)-7-oxoheptanoate **2a** and methyl 9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9-oxononanoate **2b**. The methyl esters of dicarboxylic acid chlorides **1a,b** were synthesised by a well-known method²¹ using monomethyl dicarboxylates and thionyl chloride in benzene. To a solution of 8.1 g of anisole (75 mmol) in dichloroethane (46 ml), 18 g of anhydrous AlCl₃ (0.24 mol) was added with stirring at 0 °C. Next, 68 mmol of **1a,b** in dichloroethane (10 ml) was added on cooling with stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 3 h on cooling and then for 1 h at room temperature. Next, it was poured onto ice, and 50 ml of 6 M HCl was added. The solution was extracted with Et₂O (2×50 ml), and the organic layer was washed with H₂O (2×50 ml). The solvent was evaporated to dryness in a vacuum; H₂O (10 ml) was added to the residue and evaporated to dryness (this procedure was repeated three times).

This work was supported by the INCO-Copernicus grant ERBIC15-CT98-0138.

References

- 1 S. Muller, P. Schmid and P. Schlatter, Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol., 1998, 5, 257.
- 2 M. Ahel and W. Giger, Chemosphere, 1993, 26, 1471.
- 3 D. W. McLeese, V. Zitko, D. B. Sergeant, L. Burridge and C. D. Metcalfe, *Chemosphere*, 1981, **10**, 723.
- ‡ 7-(4-Methoxyphenyl)heptanoic acid **3a** and 9-(4-methoxyphenyl)nonanoic acid **3b**. To a suspension of Zn (30 g) in a 5% (AcO)₂Hg solution (50 ml), 53.25 mmol of **2a,b** in toluene (30 ml), H₂O (22.5 ml) and HCl (52.5 ml) were added with stirring. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h. Next, HCl (15 ml) was added, and the mixture was additionally refluxed for 6 h (this procedure was repeated three times). The solution was extracted with diethyl ether (3×50 ml), and the extract was dried with Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated to dryness; toluene traces were evaporated with CCl₄. The residue (a mixture of **3a,b** and their methyl esters) was dissolved in 40 ml of EtOH, and a 10% NaOH solution (40 ml) was added. This slurry was refluxed for 6 h; EtOH was evaporated, and H₂O (40 ml) and HCl were added until the end of the precipitate formation. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with cold water and dried.
- § 7-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)heptanoic acid 4a and 9-(4-hydroxyphenyl)nonanoic acid 4b. A mixture of 3a,b (19 mmol), 48% HBr (15 ml) and glacial acetic acid (15 ml) was refluxed for 6 h. The solvent was evaporated to dryness; the residue was treated with a 5% NaOH solution (25 ml) and activated charcoal and refluxed for 0.5 h. The hot solution was filtered and treated with 3 ml of HCl. The precipitate formed was recrystallised from dilute EtOH.

NMR spectra were measured on a BS-467 spectrometer at 60 MHz with HMDS as an internal standard.

2a: yield 97%, mp 46 °C.²² ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.15 (d, 2H, Ph, o-H, J 8 Hz), 7.13 (d, 2H, Ph, m-H, J 8 Hz), 4.18 (s, 3H, Me-O-Ph), 4.02 (s, 3H, AcO), 3.28 (t, 2H, CH₂-CO-Ph, J 6 Hz), 2.73 (t, 2H, CH₂COO), 1.90 [br. m, 6H, (CH₂)₃]. MS, m/z: 264 [M⁺].

2b: yield 92%, mp 82 °C. 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.05 (d, 2H, Ph, o-H, J 8 Hz), 7.08 (d, 2H, Ph, m-H, J 8 Hz), 4.1 (s, 3H, Me-O-Ph), 3.90 (s, 3H, AcO), 3.20 (t, 2H, CH_2 -CO-Ph, J 6 Hz), 2.70 (t, 2H, CH_2 COO), 1.76 [br. m, 10H, (CH₂)₅]. MS, m/z; 292 [M+].

1.76 [br. m, 10H, $(CH_2)_5$]. MS, m/z: 292 [M+]. 3a: yield 83%, mp 81 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 10.80 (s, 1H, COOH), 7.33 (d, 2H, Ph, m-H, J 8 Hz), 7.15 (d, 2H, Ph, o-H, J 8 Hz), 4.1 (s, 3H, MeO), 2.65 (br. m, 4H, CH_2 Ph, CH_2 CO), 1.93 [br. m, 8H, $(CH_2)_4$]. MS, m/z: 236 [M+].

3b: yield 85%, mp 50–52 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 10.60 (s, 1H, COOH), 7.23 (d, 2H, Ph, m-H, J 8 Hz), 6.96 (d, 2H, Ph, o-H, J 8 Hz), 4.1 (s, 3H, MeO), 2.75 (br. m, 4H, C H_2 Ph, CH $_2$ CO), 1.86 [br. m, 12H, (CH $_2$) $_6$]. MS, m/z: 264 [M $^+$].

4a: yield 70%, mp 84 °C. 1 H NMR ([2 H₆]acetone) δ : 7.53 (br. s, 1H, PhO*H*), 6.72 (d, 2H, Ph, *m*-H, *J* 8 Hz), 6.35 (d, 2H, Ph, *o*-H, *J* 8 Hz), 2.10 (br. m, 4H, CH₂Ph, CH₂CO), 1.24 [m, 8H, (CH₂)₄]. MS, *m/z*: 222 [M⁺].

4b: yield 80.5%, mp 66 °C. ¹H NMR ([2 H₆]acetone) δ : 7.20 (br. s, 1H, PhO*H*), 6.66 (d, 2H, Ph, *m*-H, *J* 8 Hz), 6.43 (d, 2H, Ph, *o*-H, *J* 8 Hz), 2.40 (br. m, 4H, CH₂Ph, CH₂CO), 1.42 [m, 12H, (CH₂)₆]. MS, m/z: 250 [M⁺].

- 4 G. W. Holcombe, G. L. Phipps, M. L. Knuth and T. Felhaber, Environ. Pollut., Ser. A, 1984, 35, 356.
- 5 M. L. Cano, S. Dyer and A. J. DeCarvalho, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 1981, 8, 1253.
- 6 V. Kramer and J. P. Giesy, Human Ecol. Risk Assess., 1995, 1, 37.
- 7 A. M. Soto, H. Justicia, J. W. Wray and C. Sonnenschein, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 1991, **92**, 167.
- H. B. Lee, T. E. Peart and R. J. Majuire, J. Chromatogr. A, 1997, 785, 385.
- W. H. Ding and S. H. Tzing, J. Chromatogr. A, 1998, 824, 79.
- 10 M. Ahel and W. Giver, Anal. Chem., 1985, 57, 2584.
- 11 A. A. Boyd-Boland and J. V. Pawliszyn, Anal. Chem., 1994, 66, 699.
- 12 A. Marcomini, B. Pavoni, A. Sfriso and A. A. Orio, *Marine Chem.*, 1990, 29, 307.
- 13 M.-C. Hennion and D. Barcelo, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1998, 362, 3.
- 14 F. Jung, S. J. Gee, R. O. Harrison, M. H. Goodrow, A. E. Karu, A. L. Brown, Q. X. Li and B. D. Hammock, *Pestic. Sci.*, 1989, 26, 303.
- 15 M. P. Marco, S. Gee and B. D. Hammock, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 1995, 14, 415.
- 16 B. D. Hammock, in *Immunoanalysis of Agrochemicals, Emerging Technologies*, eds. J. O. Nelson, E. E. Karu and R. B. Wong, American Chemical Society, Washington, 1995, vol. 586.
- 17 S. S. Wong, Chemistry of Protein Conjugation and Cross-Linking, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.
- 18 M. Brinkley, Bioconjugate Chem., 1992, 3, 2.
- 19 D. Papa, E. Schwenk and H. Hankin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 3018.
- K. Okamoto, M. Watanabe and I. Imada, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1988, 36, 178.
- 21 S. Goldshmidt, H. Spath and L. Beer, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1961, 649, 1.
- 22 Von S. Hauptmann, F. Brandes and W. Gabler, J. Prakt. Chem., 1964, 25, 56.

Received: 1st June 2000; Com. 00/1662